

Gröbner Bases Native to 'pseudo'-Hodge Algebras, with an Application to Bideterminants

Abhiram Natarajan

University of Warwick

Joint work with Joshua Grochow (Univ. of Colorado, Boulder, USA)

Gröbner Bases

- Is x^3 divisible by $x^2 + x$? **EASY!**

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Is x^3 divisible by $x^2 + x$? **EASY!**
- ▶ Is polynomial f divisible by (h_1, h_2) : does there exist (k_1, k_2) such that $h_1k_1 + h_2k_2 = f$? **HARD!**

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Is x^3 divisible by $x^2 + x$? **EASY!**
- ▶ Is polynomial f divisible by (h_1, h_2) : does there exist (k_1, k_2) such that $h_1k_1 + h_2k_2 = f$? **HARD!**
- ▶ e.g. Is x^3 divisible by $(x^2 + x, x^3 + 2x^2)$?

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Is x^3 divisible by $x^2 + x$? **EASY!**
- ▶ Is polynomial f divisible by (h_1, h_2) : does there exist (k_1, k_2) such that $h_1 k_1 + h_2 k_2 = f$? **HARD!**
- ▶ e.g. Is x^3 divisible by $(x^2 + x, x^3 + 2x^2)$?
$$x^3 = -x^2 \cdot (x^2 + x) + x \cdot (x^3 + 2x^2)$$

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Is x^3 divisible by $x^2 + x$? **EASY!**
- ▶ Is polynomial f divisible by (h_1, h_2) : does there exist (k_1, k_2) such that $h_1 k_1 + h_2 k_2 = f$? **HARD!**
- ▶ e.g. Is x^3 divisible by $(x^2 + x, x^3 + 2x^2)$?
$$x^3 = -x^2 \cdot (x^2 + x) + x \cdot (x^3 + 2x^2)$$
- ▶ The answer to the question above required **cleverness**

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Given an ideal $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$, a **Gröbner basis** of I is $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ such that

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Given an ideal $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$, a **Gröbner basis** of I is $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ such that
 - ▶ $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle = I$
 - ▶ checking if $f \in I$ can be done **mechanically** using G

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Given an ideal $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$, a **Gröbner basis** of I is $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ such that
 - ▶ $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle = I$
 - ▶ checking if $f \in I$ can be done **mechanically** using G
- ▶ Gröbner bases **exist for all ideals**, and there is an **algorithm** to find them!

Gröbner Bases

- ▶ Given an ideal $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$, a **Gröbner basis** of I is $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ such that
 - ▶ $\langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle = I$
 - ▶ checking if $f \in I$ can be done **mechanically** using G
- ▶ Gröbner bases **exist for all ideals**, and there is an **algorithm** to find them!
- ▶ **UNDERSTATEMENT**: Gröbner bases are very useful

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

- ▶ CCT - classifying computational problems according to their resource usage, and understanding the classification itself

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

- ▶ CCT - classifying computational problems according to their resource usage, and understanding the classification itself
- ▶ Called 'quantitative epistemology', CCT has revealed profound insights into the mathematical universe, and has given P vs NP

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

- ▶ CCT - classifying computational problems according to their resource usage, and understanding the classification itself
- ▶ Called 'quantitative epistemology', CCT has revealed profound insights into the mathematical universe, and has given P vs NP
- ▶ The algebraic version of P vs NP is VP vs VNP

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

- ▶ CCT - classifying computational problems according to their resource usage, and understanding the classification itself
- ▶ Called 'quantitative epistemology', CCT has revealed profound insights into the mathematical universe, and has given P vs NP
- ▶ The algebraic version of P vs NP is VP vs VNP
- ▶ Determinantal complexity (dc) of a polynomial P: minimum $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is an $r \times r$ matrix M of affine linear forms satisfying $\det(M) = P$

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

► example:

$$y + 2x + xz + 2xy - x^2z = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 \\ -1 & z + y + 2 & x \\ 1 & z & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

► example:

$$y + 2x + xz + 2xy - x^2z = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 \\ -1 & z + y + 2 & x \\ 1 & z & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

► Thus $\text{dc}(y + 2x + xz + 2xy - x^2z) \leq 3$

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

► example:

$$y + 2x + xz + 2xy - x^2z = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 \\ -1 & z + y + 2 & x \\ 1 & z & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

► Thus $dc(y + 2x + xz + 2xy - x^2z) \leq 3$

► **Permanent of $n \times n$ matrix (perm_n):** Just $n \times n$ determinant with no minus signs, e.g. $x_{1,1}x_{2,2} + x_{1,2}x_{2,1}$

Computational Complexity Theory (CCT)

- ▶ example:

$$y + 2x + xz + 2xy - x^2z = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & y & 0 \\ -1 & z + y + 2 & x \\ 1 & z & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ Thus $dc(y + 2x + xz + 2xy - x^2z) \leq 3$
- ▶ Permanent of $n \times n$ matrix (perm_n): Just $n \times n$ determinant with no minus signs, e.g. $x_{1,1}x_{2,2} + x_{1,2}x_{2,1}$
- ▶ Conjecture: $dc(\text{perm}_n) = n^{\omega(1)}$

Algebraic Complexity Theory

- ▶ Another important question in algebraic CCT is **complexity of matrix multiplication** - currently $O(n^{2.371339})$

Algebraic Complexity Theory

- ▶ Another important question in algebraic CCT is **complexity of matrix multiplication** - currently $O(n^{2.371339})$
- ▶ Usually have sets parameterized by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and seek asymptotic information that is **symbolic in n**

Algebraic Complexity Theory

- ▶ Another important question in algebraic CCT is **complexity of matrix multiplication** - currently $O(n^{2.371339})$
- ▶ Usually have sets parameterized by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and seek asymptotic information that is **symbolic in n**
- ▶ Sometimes you get intuition by **computing small examples**, e.g. $n = 2, 3, \dots$

Algebraic Complexity Theory

- ▶ Another important question in algebraic CCT is **complexity of matrix multiplication** - currently $O(n^{2.371339})$
- ▶ Usually have sets parameterized by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and seek asymptotic information that is **symbolic in n**
- ▶ Sometimes you get intuition by **computing small examples**, e.g. $n = 2, 3, \dots$
- ▶ **Gröbner bases** are **well-suited to both of the above**: they give **theoretical insight** as well as are the key tool in **effective methods**

Gröbner Bases are not a Panacea

- Obtaining a Gröbner basis can be **tremendously expensive** - EXPSPACE-complete (Mayr and Meyer [1982])

Gröbner Bases are not a Panacea

- ▶ Obtaining a Gröbner basis can be **tremendously expensive** - EXPSPACE-complete (Mayr and Meyer [1982])
- ▶ Hard to get even simple cases to finish, e.g. 3×3 determinant orbit closure, tensor rank of 3×3 multiplication

Gröbner Bases are not a Panacea

- ▶ Obtaining a Gröbner basis can be **tremendously expensive** - EXPSPACE-complete (Mayr and Meyer [1982])
- ▶ Hard to get even simple cases to finish, e.g. 3×3 determinant orbit closure, tensor rank of 3×3 multiplication
- ▶ Gröbner bases tend to **obscure symmetry**!

Algebraic de Rham Cohomology

- ▶ There are Gröbner basis methods to obtain Algebraic de Rham Cohomology (Oaku and Takayama [1999, 2001])

Algebraic de Rham Cohomology

- ▶ There are Gröbner basis methods to obtain Algebraic de Rham Cohomology (Oaku and Takayama [1999, 2001])
- ▶ One can obtain lower bounds on **decision tree complexity** by obtaining Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets

Algebraic de Rham Cohomology

- ▶ There are Gröbner basis methods to obtain Algebraic de Rham Cohomology (Oaku and Takayama [1999, 2001])
- ▶ One can obtain lower bounds on **decision tree complexity** by obtaining Betti numbers of semi-algebraic sets
- ▶ Requires computing Gröbner bases of D-ideals (ideals in the Weyl algebra):

$$W_n := \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ X_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \right\}_{i \in [n]} \right] / \left\langle \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \cdot X_i - X_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} - 1 \right\}_{i \in [n]} \right\rangle$$

Algebraic de Rham Cohomology

- ▶ We aimed to compute the cohomology of tensor rank varieties, orbit closure of the determinant, etc.

Algebraic de Rham Cohomology

- ▶ We aimed to compute the cohomology of tensor rank varieties, orbit closure of the determinant, etc.
- ▶ We couldn't even obtain cohomology of 3×3 determinant hypersurface by hand, or on Macaulay2

Algebraic de Rham Cohomology

- ▶ We aimed to compute the cohomology of tensor rank varieties, orbit closure of the determinant, etc.
- ▶ We couldn't even obtain cohomology of 3×3 determinant hypersurface by hand, or on Macaulay2
- ▶ Reason for failure - Gröbner basis methods in the Weyl algebra obscure the determinantal nature of the D-ideals one has to deal with

Algebraic de Rham Cohomology

- ▶ We aimed to compute the cohomology of tensor rank varieties, orbit closure of the determinant, etc.
- ▶ We **couldn't even obtain cohomology of 3×3 determinant hypersurface** by hand, or on Macaulay2
- ▶ Reason for failure - Gröbner basis methods in the Weyl algebra obscure the **determinantal** nature of the D-ideals one has to deal with

Question

Develop a Gröbner basis theory which takes advantage if variety corresponding to ideal has large symmetry group, or is 'determinantal'

Hodge Algebra (Alg. with Straightening Law)

► If an algebra A is a **pseudo-ASL (p-ASL for short)** then:

► $A \cong \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$, and

Hodge Algebra (Alg. with Straightening Law)

- ▶ If an algebra A is a **pseudo-ASL (p-ASL for short)** then:
 - ▶ $A \cong \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$, and
 - ▶ there is a monomial ideal $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}]$ such that monomials not in Σ form an \mathbb{F} -linear basis for A ; such monomials are called **standard monomials**.

Hodge Algebra (Alg. with Straightening Law)

- ▶ If an algebra A is a **pseudo-ASL (p-ASL for short)** then:
 - ▶ $A \cong \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$, and
 - ▶ there is a monomial ideal $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}]$ such that monomials not in Σ form an \mathbb{F} -linear basis for A ; such monomials are called **standard monomials**.
- ▶ If A is an **ASL**, the product of two standard monomials can be **straightened** into a linear combination of 'smaller' standard monomials

Hodge Algebra (Alg. with Straightening Law)

- ▶ If an algebra A is a **pseudo-ASL (p-ASL for short)** then:
 - ▶ $A \cong \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$, and
 - ▶ there is a monomial ideal $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}]$ such that monomials not in Σ form an \mathbb{F} -linear basis for A ; such monomials are called **standard monomials**.
- ▶ If A is an **ASL**, the product of two standard monomials can be **straightened** into a linear combination of 'smaller' standard monomials
- ▶ ASLs arise as coordinate rings of algebraic varieties, e.g. Grassmannians, determinantal varieties, flag varieties, Schubert varieties

Bideterminants (products of minors)

Denoting

$$(r_1, \dots, r_k \mid c_1, \dots, c_k) := \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{r_1, c_1} & \cdots & x_{r_1, c_k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{r_k, c_1} & \cdots & x_{r_k, c_k} \end{pmatrix},$$

Bideterminants (products of minors)

Denoting

$$(r_1, \dots, r_k \mid c_1, \dots, c_k) := \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{r_1, c_1} & \cdots & x_{r_1, c_k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{r_k, c_1} & \cdots & x_{r_k, c_k} \end{pmatrix},$$

The combinatorial datum below (**bitableau**)

$$\left[\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline r_1^{(1)} & r_1^{(2)} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & r_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \\ \hline r_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} & \\ \hline \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline r_1^{(p)} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline r_{\lambda_p}^{(p)} \\ \hline \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline c_1^{(1)} & c_1^{(2)} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & c_{\lambda_2}^{(2)} \\ \hline c_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} & \\ \hline \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{|c|} \hline c_1^{(p)} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline c_{\lambda_p}^{(p)} \\ \hline \end{array} \right],$$

defines the following product of minors (**bideterminant**)

$$(R \mid C) := \prod_{i=1}^p (r_1^{(i)}, \dots, r_{\lambda_i}^{(i)} \mid c_1^{(i)}, \dots, c_{\lambda_i}^{(i)}).$$

Bideterminants (products of minors)

- **Standard bitableaux/bideterminant:** entries in rows of both tableaux are non-decreasing

Bideterminants (products of minors)

- ▶ **Standard bitableaux/bideterminant:** entries in rows of both tableaux are non-decreasing
- ▶ **straightening law:** product of any two minors in $\mathbb{F} \left[\{X_{i,j}\}_{i \in [m], j \in [n]} \right]$ can be expressed as an **\mathbb{F} -linear combination** of standard bideterminants

Bideterminants (products of minors)

- ▶ **Standard bitableaux/bideterminant:** entries in rows of both tableaux are non-decreasing
- ▶ **straightening law:** product of any two minors in $\mathbb{F} \left[\{X_{i,j}\}_{i \in [m], j \in [n]} \right]$ can be expressed as an **\mathbb{F} -linear combination** of standard bideterminants
- ▶ Thus **Standard bideterminants form an \mathbb{F} -linear basis of** $\mathbb{F} \left[\{X_{i,j}\}_{i \in [m], j \in [n]} \right]$

Bideterminants give Hodge Algebra

► Define

$$A = \mathbb{F}[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}, Y] / \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} - X_{1,1}X_{2,2} + Y \rangle$$

$$\Sigma = \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} \rangle$$

Bideterminants give Hodge Algebra

- Define

$$A = \mathbb{F}[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}, Y] / \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} - X_{1,1}X_{2,2} + Y \rangle$$

$$\Sigma = \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} \rangle$$

- Note: $X_{1,2}X_{2,1}$ is a non-standard bideterminant

$$\left[\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right],$$

- **straightening of $X_{1,2}X_{2,1}$:** $X_{1,2}X_{2,1} = X_{1,1}X_{2,2} - Y$

Bideterminants give Hodge Algebra

- Define

$$A = \mathbb{F}[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}, Y] / \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} - X_{1,1}X_{2,2} + Y \rangle$$

$$\Sigma = \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} \rangle$$

- Note: $X_{1,2}X_{2,1}$ is a non-standard bideterminant

$$\left[\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right],$$

- **straightening of $X_{1,2}X_{2,1}$:** $X_{1,2}X_{2,1} = X_{1,1}X_{2,2} - Y$
- (A, Σ) - **the algebra of bideterminants** - is an example of a Hodge algebra

Bideterminants give Hodge Algebra

- Define

$$A = \mathbb{F}[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}, Y] / \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} - X_{1,1}X_{2,2} + Y \rangle$$

$$\Sigma = \langle X_{1,2}X_{2,1} \rangle$$

- Note: $X_{1,2}X_{2,1}$ is a non-standard bideterminant

$$\left[\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right],$$

- **straightening of $X_{1,2}X_{2,1}$:** $X_{1,2}X_{2,1} = X_{1,1}X_{2,2} - Y$
- (A, Σ) - **the algebra of bideterminants** - is an example of a Hodge algebra
- As seen before, $A \cong \mathbb{F}[X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}]$

Bideterminants give Hodge Algebra

- ▶ This generalizes
 - ▶ poly ring with one variable for each minor of $n \times m$ matrix
 - ▶ quotient by relations between minors
 - ▶ gives ASL structure to the co-ordinate ring of $n \times m$ matrices
 - ▶ standard monomials correspond to standard bitableaux

Bideterminants give Hodge Algebra

- ▶ This generalizes
 - ▶ poly ring with one variable for each minor of $n \times m$ matrix
 - ▶ quotient by relations between minors
 - ▶ gives ASL structure to the co-ordinate ring of $n \times m$ matrices
 - ▶ standard monomials correspond to standard bitableaux
- ▶ Advantage - smaller expressions for 'determinant-like' polynomials; bideterminants reflect symmetries coming from the action (representation theory) of GL_n

Gröbner bases in p -ASLs

- Generally, in p -ASLs, you have smaller expressions for polynomials that are adapted to the p -ASL basis

Gröbner bases in p -ASLs

- ▶ Generally, in p -ASLs, you have smaller expressions for polynomials that are adapted to the p -ASL basis
- ▶ Can we have a theory of Gröbner bases directly in p -ASLs?

Gröbner bases in p-ASLs

- ▶ Generally, in p-ASLs, you have smaller expressions for polynomials that are adapted to the p-ASL basis
- ▶ Can we have a theory of Gröbner bases directly in p-ASLs?
- ▶ Trivially, since A is a finitely generated commutative algebra,
$$A \cong \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$$

Gröbner bases in p-ASLs

- ▶ Generally, in p-ASLs, you have smaller expressions for polynomials that are adapted to the p-ASL basis
- ▶ Can we have a theory of Gröbner bases directly in p-ASLs?
- ▶ Trivially, since A is a finitely generated commutative algebra, $A \cong \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$
- ▶ Thus for an ideal $I \subseteq A$, we can study Gröbner bases of the ideal $I + J \subseteq \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}]$ – this obscures symmetries

Gröbner bases in p -ASLs

- ▶ Generally, in p -ASLs, you have smaller expressions for polynomials that are adapted to the p -ASL basis
- ▶ Can we have a theory of Gröbner bases directly in p -ASLs?
- ▶ Trivially, since A is a finitely generated commutative algebra, $A \cong \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$
- ▶ Thus for an ideal $I \subseteq A$, we can study Gröbner bases of the ideal $I + J \subseteq \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}]$ – **this obscures symmetries**

Question

Can we build a theory of Gröbner bases 'native' to p -ASLs, i.e. Gröbner theory without referencing the ideal J ?

Challenges

- Basis of p-ASL $A = \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$ consists only of **standard monomials** (monomials outside Σ), not all monomials in \vec{X}

Challenges

- ▶ Basis of p-ASL $A = \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$ consists only of **standard monomials** (monomials outside Σ), not all monomials in \vec{X}
- ▶ Product of standard monomials not necessarily standard, might require **straightening**

Challenges

- ▶ Basis of p-ASL $A = \mathbb{F}[\vec{X}] / J$ consists only of **standard monomials** (monomials outside Σ), not all monomials in \vec{X}
- ▶ Product of standard monomials not necessarily standard, might require **straightening**
 - ▶ How do you define **term order**?
 - ▶ How would you define **division** of monomials?
 - ▶ What plays the role of **monomial ideals**?

Term Order & Division

- ▶ A **p-ASL term order** on a p-ASL A is a total order \prec on standard monomials in A such that
 - ▶ $1 \preceq m$
 - ▶ If $a \prec b$ and $c \preceq d$, and $ac, bd \neq 0$, then

$$\text{LM}(ac) \prec \text{LM}(bd)$$

Term Order & Division

- ▶ A **p-ASL term order** on a p-ASL A is a total order \prec on standard monomials in A such that

- ▶ $1 \preceq m$

- ▶ If $a \prec b$ and $c \preceq d$, and $ac, bd \neq 0$, then

$$\text{LM}(ac) \prec \text{LM}(bd)$$

- ▶ When does standard monomial m **divide** m' :

- ▶ **ordinary division** in the polynomial ring, or

- ▶ m divides m' if **there exists** standard monomial f such that

$$\text{LM}(mf) = m'$$

Auxilliary Algebra of Leading Terms

- Given p-ASL A , **algebra of leading terms** w.r.t. A is another p-ASL A_{lt} on the same variables, and the same standard monomials such that for standard monomials m, m'

$$\pi_{lt}(m) \cdot \pi_{lt}(m') = \overbrace{0}^{\text{no straightening}} \quad \text{or} \quad \underbrace{\pi_{lt}(LT(mm'))}_{\text{leading term of straightening}}$$

where $\pi_{lt}: A \rightarrow A_{lt}$ is the identity

Auxilliary Algebra of Leading Terms

- Given p-ASL A , **algebra of leading terms** w.r.t. A is another p-ASL A_{lt} on the same variables, and the same standard monomials such that for standard monomials m, m'

$$\pi_{lt}(m) \cdot \pi_{lt}(m') = \overbrace{0}^{\text{no straightening}} \quad \text{or} \quad \underbrace{\pi_{lt}(LT(mm'))}_{\text{leading term of straightening}}$$

where $\pi_{lt}: A \rightarrow A_{lt}$ is the identity

Proposition

Every p-ASL A admits two algebras of leading terms – A_{gen} where the product is never 0, and, A_{disc} where product is 0 unless mm' is also a standard monomial.

Definition of p-ASL Gröbner Basis

- ▶ Given p-ASL A , algebra of leading terms A_{lt} , and an ideal $I \subseteq A$, then $G \subseteq A$ is a p-ASL Gröbner basis if:
 - ▶ For all $f \in I$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\pi_{lt}(LM(g))$ divides $\pi_{lt}(LM(f))$, or

Definition of p-ASL Gröbner Basis

- ▶ Given p-ASL A , algebra of leading terms A_{lt} , and an ideal $I \subseteq A$, then $G \subseteq A$ is a p-ASL Gröbner basis if:
 - ▶ For all $f \in I$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\pi_{lt}(LM(g))$ divides $\pi_{lt}(LM(f))$, or
 - ▶ $\langle \{\pi_{lt}(LM(g)) : g \in G\} \rangle = \langle \{\pi_{lt}(LM(f)) : f \in I\} \rangle$ (standard monomial ideals in A_{lt})

Our Main Result

Theorem (Grochow-N, 2025)

For any p -ASLs A with a p -ASL term order, we have a theory of Gröbner bases native to A . Specifically:

Our Main Result

Theorem (Grochow-N, 2025)

For any p -ASLs A with a p -ASL term order, we have a theory of Gröbner bases native to A . Specifically:

	ordinary Gröbner	p -ASL Gröbner theory
Existence	✓	✓
Reduced	✓	✓
Universal	✓	✓
Syzygies	✓	✓
Algorithms	✓	✓
Krull Dim.	✓	✓

Our Main Result

Theorem (Grochow-N, 2025)

For any p -ASLs A with a p -ASL term order, we have a theory of Gröbner bases native to A . Specifically:

	ordinary Gröbner	p -ASL Gröbner theory
Existence	✓	✓
Reduced	✓	✓
Universal	✓	✓
Syzygies	✓	✓
Algorithms	✓	✓
Krull Dim.	✓	✓

Corollary (Grochow-N, 2025)

The algebra of bideterminants has a p -ASL term order, thus we have a Gröbner basis theory (called *bd-Gröbner bases*).

Applications to Bideterminant Algebra

- ▶ **Universal p-ASL Gröbner basis** is a p-ASL Gröbner basis for any p-ASL term order and any algebra of leading terms

Applications to Bideterminant Algebra

- **Universal p-ASL Gröbner basis** is a p-ASL Gröbner basis for any p-ASL term order and any algebra of leading terms

Theorem (Grochow-N, 2025)

For any r , the set of minors of size $\geq r$ is a universal bd-Gröbner basis for its ideal.

Applications to Bideterminant Algebra

- ▶ **Universal p-ASL Gröbner basis** is a p-ASL Gröbner basis for any p-ASL term order and any algebra of leading terms

Theorem (Grochow-N, 2025)

For any r , the set of minors of size $\geq r$ is a universal bd-Gröbner basis for its ideal.

Takeaway

1. *Given all our machinery, the proof is one-line*
2. *In the ordinary case, universal Gröbner basis are known only for maximal minors and minors of size 2*

Future Work

- ▶ In upcoming work, we have already extended our Gröbner basis theory to mildly non-commutative algebras, including the Weyl algebra

Future Work

- ▶ In upcoming work, we have already extended our Gröbner basis theory to mildly non-commutative algebras, including the Weyl algebra
- ▶ Get bd-Gröbner bases of annihilating D-ideals

Future Work

- ▶ In upcoming work, we have already extended our Gröbner basis theory to mildly non-commutative algebras, including the Weyl algebra
- ▶ Get bd-Gröbner bases of annihilating D-ideals
- ▶ Compute Weyl closure, b-functions, etc. using bd-Gröbner bases in the Weyl algebra

Future Work

- ▶ In upcoming work, we have already extended our Gröbner basis theory to mildly non-commutative algebras, including the Weyl algebra
- ▶ Get bd-Gröbner bases of annihilating D-ideals
- ▶ Compute Weyl closure, b-functions, etc. using bd-Gröbner bases in the Weyl algebra
- ▶ See if we can develop a bipermanent Gröbner basis theory (codimension of singular locus of permanent hypersurface is unknown!)

References

- E. W. Mayr and A. R. Meyer. The complexity of the word problems for commutative semigroups and polynomial ideals. *Advances in mathematics*, 46(3):305–329, 1982.
- T. Oaku and N. Takayama. An algorithm for de Rham cohomology groups of the complement of an affine variety via D-module computation. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 139(1-3):201–233, 1999. doi: 10.1016/S0022-4049(99)00012-2.
- T. Oaku and N. Takayama. Computing de Rham cohomology groups. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Symposium on Ring Theory and Representation Theory (Shimane, 2000)*, pages 19–22. Tokyo Univ. Agric. Technol., Tokyo, 2001.